

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 02/20/2002

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|---------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/425,636 | 10/22/1999 | DOUGLAS QUONG | 55197USA4A | 9824 | |
| 75 | 90 02/20/2002 | | | | |
| ARLENE L HORNILLA OFFICE OF INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY COUNSEL 3M INNOVATIVE PROPERTIES COMPANY EXAMINER NGUYEN, HELE | | | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| | | | , HELEN | | |
| P O BOX 3342' ST PAUL, MN | • | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 1617 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|----------------------|-------------|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 09/425,636 | QUONG, DOUGLAS | ; | | | |
| Advisory Action | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Helen Nguyen | 1617 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 07 February 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | | | | | | |
| PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires | | | | | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. | | | | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: | | | | | | |
| (a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); | | | | | | |
| (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); | | | | | | |
| (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or | | | | | | |
| (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.NOTE: | | | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | | | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | | | | | | |
| 5.⊠ The a)⊠ affidavit, b)□ exhibit, or c)□ request for application in condition for allowance because: <u>Se</u> | | idered but does NO | T place the | | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | ause it is not directed SOLELY t | to issues which were | e newly | | | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we | | | and an | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8,10 and 12-18</u> . | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 9. | | | | | | |
| 8. \square The proposed drawing correction filed on is | a) approved or b) disapp | roved by the Exami | ner. | | | |
| P. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | | | | | | |
| 10. Other: | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 1/ Applicant argues but does not claim chemical cross linking. 2/ Akashi et al. teach drying above room temperature which reads on non-chemical curing. 3 / Applicant argues that Akashi et al. teaches at 100 degree Celcius or more. However, Akashi teaches spray-drying at temperatures as low as 50 degree Celcius (column 8, lines 62).

EDWARD J. WEBMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1500